



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,853	08/22/2003	Yoshinori Kataoka	031047	8139	
23850	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW		
1725 K STRE SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20006		2627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/64	5,853	KATAOKA ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			Danielsen	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NEW PERIOD FOR THE	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 22 August 20	003 and 21 Marc	<u>h 2006</u> .				
, —	,	2b) ☐ This action i		-				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or	b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies				l Stano			
	application from the Internation	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		in received in this mationa	1 Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	·		ot received.				
·								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	v Summary (PTO-413)						
· ==	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/645,853 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-16, drawn to a switch device, classified in class 200, subclass 19.03.
 - Claims 17-19, drawn to data-processing and playback apparatuses, classified in class 369, subclass 30.36.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the limitations found in claims 2-10 and 12-16, such as a plurality of guide pins (claim 5). The subcombination has separate utility such as being used in a playback apparatus not having a process control section which changes data-processing modes when rotational motion is detected.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to William Kratz on 08 November 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Closing Remarks/Comments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Danielsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,853 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan Danielsen

THANG V. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER